Published on The National Law Review https://natlawreview.com

9th Circuit Rules That the Federal Aviation Administration Authorization Act Does Not Preempt California Meal and Rest Period Laws

Article By:

Scott J. Witlin

Steve L. Hernández

In a set back for motor-carrier industry employers in California, the 9th Circuit ruled on Wednesday, July 9, 2014, that the *Federal Aviation Administration Authorization Act* (the FAAAA) does not preempt California meal and rest break law. In **Dilts v. Penske Logistics**, a three member panel of the 9th Circuit overruled a lower court that had found that the FAAAA, which provides "States may not enact or enforce a law . . . related to a price, route or service of any motor carrier . . . with respect to the transportation of property," preempted California laws relating to meal and rest periods. In the 9th Circuit's decision, written by Judge Susan Graber, the Court found that California's meal and rest period policies are not "'related to" Defendants' prices, routes, or services," and, accordingly, the FAAAA did not preempt those laws. One Judge would have remanded the case to the trial court to allow the employer to demonstrate how state law related to the motor carrier's price, route or service.

Stay tuned as the employer may seek to have the case reheard by an en banc panel of the Ninth Circuit and may appeal to the U.S. Supreme Court.

© 2025 BARNES & THORNBURG LLP

National Law Review, Volume IV, Number 195

Source URL: https://natlawreview.com/article/9th-circuit-rules-federal-aviation-administrationauthorization-act-does-not-preempt