## Generative AI Targeted by Texas Attorney General and FTC: What Businesses Should Know

Article By:

Jason M. Loring

Graham H. Ryan

## Texas AG Announces 'First-of-Its-Kind' Settlement

In what is likely to be followed by further inquiries into the marketing, use, and deployment of artificial intelligence (AI) tools throughout the country, on September 18, 2024, Texas Attorney General (AG) Ken Paxton reached an <u>agreement</u> with an AI healthcare technology company to resolve allegations that the company made deceptive statements regarding the accuracy of its generative AI (GenAI) product used to summarize patient healthcare data.

In this case, major hospitals provided healthcare data to the company so that hospital staff could obtain GenAl outputs consisting of summaries of patient conditions and treatments. The company touted the accuracy of its GenAl product in its marketing, claiming a "severe hallucination rate" of "<0.001%" and "<1 per 100,000." Hallucinations are outputs from GenAl products that may initially appear to be believable but are in fact inaccurate or fabricated. An investigation by the Texas AG's office found that the company made deceptive claims about the accuracy of the outputs from its product and "may have deceived hospitals about the accuracy and safety" of the product as a result.

In connection with the settlement, the company agreed that any marketing of "metrics, benchmarks, or similar measurements describing the outputs of its generative AI products" must "clearly and conspicuously disclose" the "meaning or definition" of the metric, benchmark, or similar measurement, as well as the "method, procedure, or any other process" used in its calculation.

## FTC Warns About Discriminatory Impacts of AI

This agreement out of Texas follows warnings by the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) that market participants may <u>violate the FTC Act</u> by using AI that has discriminatory impacts, by making <u>misleading or unsubstantiated claims about AI</u>, or by deploying AI without risk mitigation. The FTC also <u>issued a report</u> evaluating the use and impact of AI in combating online harms that addresses concerns about AI inaccuracy, bias, and discriminatory design. The FTC has required companies to destroy <u>algorithms</u> and other <u>products</u> that were trained on data that should not have been collected.

## What Businesses Should Be Doing Now

Businesses should evaluate their internal AI governance and compliance programs with the assistance of counsel, considering emerging laws, regulations, guidance, and potential reputational impacts. In particular, businesses should be careful about claims made about the accuracy, safety, or impact of any AI tools that they are marketing, procuring, deploying, or using.

© 2025 Jones Walker LLP

National Law Review, Volume XIV, Number 267

Source URL:<u>https://natlawreview.com/article/generative-ai-targeted-texas-attorney-general-and-ftc-what-businesses-should-know</u>