SEC Settles More Rule 21F-17(a) Cases, But Has It Exceeded Its Authority?

Article By:

Keith Paul Bishop

In a blog <u>post</u> this morning, Liz Dunshee notes that the Securities and Exchange Commission has recently <u>announced</u> the settlement seven more cases involving Rule 21F-17(a), which provides:

No person may take any action to impede an individual from communicating directly with the Commission staff about a possible securities law violation, including enforcing, or threatening to enforce, a confidentiality agreement (other than agreements dealing with information covered by 240.21F-4(b)(4)(i) and 240.21F-4(b)(4)(ii) of this chapter related to the legal representation of a client) with respect to such communications.

The rule is outrageously broad. On its face, it applies to anyone who takes "any action" to impede a whistleblower. As I long ago observed, the rule on its face would prohibit an airline from delaying the flight of a whistleblower who is traveling to a meeting with the SEC or the sacramental seal of confession. See <u>Could The SEC Ask Airlines To Produce Data On Delayed And Canceled Flights?</u> and <u>What, If Anything, Impedes The SEC's Whistleblower Rule?</u>

As I have more recently <u>observed</u>, Rule 21F-17(a) was adopted pursuant to Section 21F of the Securities Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. § 78u-6). Notably, however, Section 21F (the statute) expressly prohibits only retaliation by *employers* for, among other things, providing information to the SEC. Nor does Section 21F directly prohibit anyone of from *impeding* communications with the SEC. These are important points because Section 21F grants the SEC with the authority only "to issue such rules and regulations as may be necessary or appropriate to implement the provisions of this section consistent with the purposes of this section".

In her post, Liz notes that some of the offending agreements were "with contractors/consultants rather than employees".

© 2010-2025 Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP

National Law Review, Volume XIV, Number 255

Source URL:<u>https://natlawreview.com/article/sec-settles-more-rule-21f-17a-cases-has-it-exceeded-its-authority</u>