Published on The National Law Review https://natlawreview.com

# Prime Time for Prime Hydration: YouTubers Clash With PFAS Regulations

Article By:	
Jay L. Halpern	
Emily B. Lewis	
Katia Asche	
Lynn R. Fiorentino	
Matthew R. Mills	
Robert G. Edwards, Ph.D.	

Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) have been a major issue across industries including cosmetics and personal care products, furniture, clothing, and food and beverages.

PFAS, commonly referred to as "forever chemicals," are a large family of synthetic organic chemicals containing carbon chains in which most or all of the hydrogen atoms have been replaced by fluorine. PFAS tend to be very stable and chemically inert over a wide range of temperatures, which makes them useful in a wide range of applications but very persistent in the environment and in the human body. The US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has currently identified more than 12,000 different PFAS.

As the crackdown against PFAS continues at both federal and state levels, popular YouTube personalities Logan Paul and KSI (Olajide Olayinka Williams Olatunji), along with their energy drink company, Prime Hydration, have been targeted by class action lawsuits throughout the United States, most recently this April in the US District Court for the Southern District of New York.

## **Prime Hydration Lawsuits**

The pending lawsuits seek to hold Prime Hydration liable for millions of dollars in civil penalties for

allegedly marketing energy drinks containing certain PFAS at levels exceeding what EPA permits in the public drinking water supply, according to testing commissioned by the plaintiffs.

In response to the April class action complaint, Prime Hydration has moved to dismiss, arguing that no cognizable injury was alleged, nor were facts showing a concrete and imminent threat of future harm. The decision on this motion to dismiss remains pending.

#### **PFAS Phaseout Bill**

On the heels of the lawsuit filed against Prime Hydration in April, the <u>Forever Chemical Regulation</u> and Accountability Act (FCRAA) was introduced in both the <u>US House of Representatives and US Senate</u>. (See under PFAS Phaseout Bill.) As described in greater detail in our <u>recent consumer products alert</u>, FCRAA endeavors to eliminate all "non-essential" uses of PFAS. If passed, it would require PFAS manufacturers and users to petition EPA to deem their PFAS uses as essential. Under the Act, manufacturers and users whose petitions are granted would be required to disclose certain PFAS use details through reports to EPA. In some instances, they would also need to submit a phase-out schedule to demonstrate that they will cease any nonessential use of PFAS within ten years. Similar state legislation is also in the works and garnering support.

### **Next Steps**

As evidenced by recent lawsuits as well as pending federal and state legislation, PFAS regulation is a focal point for regulators and plaintiffs' lawyers alike. Consumer products industry players working to navigate this rapidly evolving legal landscape are urged to keep a close eye on legal developments pertaining to PFAS restrictions and reporting requirements at both federal and <u>state</u> levels. Companies are encouraged to independently test their products for PFAS before going to market. While the testing process may seem costly at the outset, it could save companies from negative publicity and exposure to liability down the line.

#### © 2025 ArentFox Schiff LLP

National Law Review, Volume XIV, Number 144

Source URL: <a href="https://natlawreview.com/article/prime-time-prime-hydration-youtubers-clash-pfas-regulations">https://natlawreview.com/article/prime-time-prime-hydration-youtubers-clash-pfas-regulations</a>