Published on The National Law Review https://natlawreview.com

CMS's Final Rule on Medicare Advantage Risk Adjustment Data Validation

Article By:

Jason E. Christ

Teresa A. Mason

Matthew Sprankle

Chloe T. Hillard

On February 1, 2023, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) published a <u>final rule</u> outlining its audit methodology and related policies for its Medicare Advantage (MA) Risk Adjustment Data Validation (RADV) program. The final rule codifies long-awaited regulations first <u>proposed</u> by CMS in 2018.

As stated in the final rule, CMS intends to extrapolate RADV audit findings beginning with payment year (PY) 2018 but will not extrapolate RADV audit findings retroactively for PYs 2011–2017. For these years, CMS will limit payment recoveries to "enrollee-level adjustments," i.e., the non-extrapolated overpayments identified in CMS RADV audits and Department of Health and Human Services Office of Inspector General (OIG) audits. CMS advised that it will soon issue enrollee-level audit findings from completed RADV audits (PYs 2011–2013, followed by PYs 2014 and 2015) and collect enrollee-level improper payments identified in OIG audits. For PY 2018 and beyond, CMS declined in the final rule to adopt a specific extrapolation methodology. Though not specified in the final rule, CMS promised to "continue to disclose" its extrapolation methodology to MA Organizations (MAOs) through Health Plan Management System (HPMS) memos or other appropriate means.

Similarly, CMS declined in the final rule to adopt any specific sampling methodology, such as the contract-level and sub-cohort approaches discussed in the proposed rule. Instead, CMS intends to use any statistically valid method for sampling that CMS determines is well-suited for a specific audit. While CMS indicated it could apply multiple audit methodologies to a specific contract level audit, CMS likely will use a more targeted approach to be able to audit more MAOs. CMS will identify MAOs for audits based on data analytics to identify coding intensity, audit performance, and high enrollment.

CMS also stated in the final rule that it will not apply a Fee-For-Service (FFS) Adjuster in its RADV audits. CMS reasoned that while the Social Security Act (the Act) requires actuarial equivalence between FFS and MA, the Act only applies to **how** CMS calculates the risk adjustment made to MAO

payments and not to the obligation of MAOs to return improper payments, leveraging recent case law (*UnitedHealthcare Insurance Co. v. Becerra*). Furthermore, CMS argues in the final rule that it would not be reasonable to read the Act as requiring a reduction in payments to MAOs by a statutorily-set minimum adjustment based on coding intensity, while at the same time prohibiting CMS from enforcing longstanding documentation requirements by requiring an offset to the recovery amounts calculated for CMS audits.

CMS expects to recover a total of \$4.7 billion between 2023 and 2032 from MAOs based on both non-extrapolated and extrapolated overpayment amounts. This total includes \$41.1 million from non-extrapolated errors based for PYs 2011–2015, an estimated average of \$8.2 million per year after 2015 related to non-extrapolated enrollee-level errors, and \$479.4 million per year starting in 2025 for PY 2018 from extrapolated recoveries.

We will publish an Insight with deeper analysis in the coming days.

©2025 Epstein Becker & Green, P.C. All rights reserved.

National Law Review, Volume XIII, Number 33

Source URL: https://natlawreview.com/article/cms-s-final-rule-medicare-advantage-risk-adjustment-data-validation