





Lego on left, Lepin on right.

On appeal, the Guangdong Higher People’s Court stated that after long-term use and publicity, the “Lego” series of trademarks have become extremely well-known in the toy market, and have become the main mark used by the relevant public to identify Lego products. Meizhi Company uses a series of “Lego” logos, which are very similar to “Lego” in terms of color combination, form of expression, and overall visual effects, which can easily lead to public confusion and weaken the distinctiveness of the “Lego” series of trademarks. This causes damage to Lego’s market reputation.

The person in charge of the company, Li XX, instructed others to register a series of infringing trademarks, organize the factory to produce infringing products, and to sell. There was the on-site display and mass sales in the business premises of Meizhi, the Guangzhou International Toys and Model Exhibition, authorized sales premises, etc., and also through email, online Company website, WeChat public account and other channels for publicity and sales.

According to the relevant criminal ruling, from September 11, 2017 to April 23, 2019 alone, the illegal business revenue of the infringing products produced and sold by Meizhi Company reached 330 million RMB. In addition, based on the sales data of “Lepin” products provided by Zhejiang Taobao Network Company, the revenue of the infringing products can be reasonably estimated to exceed 500 million RMB. With reference to the reasonable estimation of profit margin of the relevant industry, the overall profits of the infringing products involved must be far more than 160 million RMB.

Based on this, the Guangdong Higher People’s Court held that Meizhi’s infringement was prolonged, was large in scale, and was highly profitable. The infringement was well thought out and organized. The malicious infringement and imitation of Lego are obvious. It is a serious infringement and should be severely sentenced. The Court agreed with Lego’s claim for compensation, and increased the original judgment by ten times to 30 million RMB compensation for Lego’s related economic losses.

© 2024 Schwegman, Lundberg & Woessner, P.A. All Rights Reserved.

Source URL:<https://natlawreview.com/article/guangdong-higher-people-s-court-ups-damages-10x-appeal-trademark-infringement-case>