

EPA Holds Calls on Plan to Reduce Burden for Certain Stakeholders Subject to TSCA Fees Rule Requirements for EPA-Initiated Risk Evaluations

Article By:

Lynn L. Bergeson

Carla N. Hutton

On April 16, 2020, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) hosted a call on its recently announced plan to reduce the burden for certain stakeholders subject to the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) fees rule requirements for EPA-initiated risk evaluations. The call covered:

- How EPA's plan to initiate a rulemaking to consider proposing exemptions to the current rule's requirements impacts manufacturers and other businesses;
- What the "No Action Assurance" means for importers of articles and producers of byproducts and impurities; and
- Reporting obligations during the current comment period, which will close **May 27, 2020**.

EPA [announced](#) on March 25, 2020, that plans to initiate a new rulemaking process to consider proposing exemptions to the current rule's self-identification requirements associated with EPA-initiated risk evaluations for manufacturers that:

- Import the chemical substance in an article;
- Produce the chemical substance as a byproduct; or
- Produce or import the chemical substance as an impurity.

During the call, EPA stated that it expects to begin rulemaking in the short term with the goal of issuing a final rule by **October 1, 2021**. As a bridge to the final rule, EPA issued a ["No Action Assurance"](#) for these three categories of manufacturers. EPA will not pursue enforcement action against entities in these manufacturer categories for failure to self-identify under 40 C.F.R. Section 700.45(b)(5).

EPA has posted [frequently asked questions \(FAQ\)](#) about TSCA fees for EPA-initiated risk

evaluations. The current FAQs include:

March 2020 Rulemaking Announcement and No Action Assurance

1. [Why is EPA announcing its intention to propose exemptions to the TSCA fees rule?](#)
2. [What is the expected timing for this rulemaking?](#)
3. [Is EPA considering any other changes to the TSCA fees rule as part of this rulemaking?](#)
4. [What does the “No Action Assurance” mean?](#)
5. [Do entities in the three categories in the planned regulatory change still have to self-identify during the comment period closing on **May 27, 2020**?](#)
6. [Are entities in the three categories impacted by the planned regulatory change still responsible for paying a portion of the risk evaluation fee?](#)
7. [What should I do if I’ve already self-identified as a manufacturer, but fall into one of the three categories in the planned regulatory change?](#)
8. [What should I do if I’ve been identified on a Preliminary List, but fall into one of the three categories in the planned regulatory change?](#)
9. [What should I do if I fall into one of the three categories in the planned regulatory change, but have NOT yet self-identified and was NOT identified on a Preliminary List?](#)
10. [What constitutes an “article” for purposes of the planned regulatory change?](#)
11. [What constitutes a “byproduct” for purposes of the planned regulatory change?](#)
12. [What constitutes an “impurity” for purposes of the planned regulatory change?](#)

Reporting for TSCA Fees

1. [What do I have to do if my entity was erroneously on a Preliminary List?](#)

EPA has posted the [slides for the call](#). EPA states that it will post a transcript of the call [on its website](#).

©2025 Bergeson & Campbell, P.C.

National Law Review, Volume X, Number 108

Source URL: <https://natlawreview.com/article/epa-holds-calls-plan-to-reduce-burden-certain-stakeholders-subject-to-tsca-fees-rule>